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#### Abstract

The stability question is settled in a nonlinear formulation. The cases of simple and nonsimple elementary divisors of the characteristic matrix of a linear system have been examined. The real normal form of the Hamiltonian of the linear problem and the corresponding normalizing transformation have been found for the second case. In the first case Liapunov instability and stability, while in the second case instability and formal stability, have been proved as a function of the coefficients of the Hamilton function,


1. We consider an autonomous Hamiltonian system with two degrees of freedom. The coordinates $q_{1}, q_{2}$ and the momenta $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are chosen such that the point $q_{1}=$ $q_{2}=p_{1}=p_{2}=0$ is an equilibrium position of the differential equation system, while the Hamilton function is represented in the series form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{2}+H_{8}+H_{4}+\ldots+H_{m}+\ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{m}$ are $m$ th-degree polynomials in the coordinates and momenta. If $H_{2}$ is a sign-definite function, then by Liapunov's theorem [1] the equilibrium position is stable. If $H_{2}$ is not a sign-definite function, but stability holds in the first approximation and the frequencies $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ of the linear problem are not connected by resonance relations of up to fourth order, inclusive, then in the majority of cases the stability question is settled using the Arnol'd-Moser theorem [2, 3].

Suppose that integers $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ exist such that $0<\left|n_{1}\right|+\left|n_{2}\right| \leqslant 4$ and $n_{1} \omega_{1}+n_{2} \omega_{2}=0$ then the Arnol'd-Moser theorem is inapplicable and the stability problem requires a particular investigation, Stability under the resonances $\omega_{1}=2 \omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{1}=3 \omega_{2}$ was investigated by Markeev [4]. The aim of the present paper is to obtain stability and instability conditions under the resonance $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}$, as well as to obtain an expression of these conditions in terms of the coefficients of forms $H_{2}, H_{3}, H_{4}$.

The first stage in solving the problem is the determination of the normal form of the linear system. By analogy with the case of nonmultiple frequencies we could assume that in the given case the normal form is a Jordan form. However, the differential equation system corresponding to it is not a canonical one. Let us examine in more detail a linear system with the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{2}= & 1 / 2 a_{11} q_{1}^{2}+a_{12} q_{1} q_{2}+1 / 2 a_{22} q_{2}{ }^{2}+c_{11} q_{1} p_{1}+c_{12} q_{1} p_{2}+  \tag{1.2}\\
& c_{21} q_{2} p_{1}+c_{22} q_{2} p_{2}+1 / 2 b_{11} p_{1}^{2}+b_{12} p_{1} p_{2}+1 / 2 b_{22} p_{2}^{2}=1 / 2 \mathbf{q}^{\prime} H \mathbf{q}
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical equations of motion of such a system are written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \mathbf{q} / d t=J H \mathbf{q}  \tag{1.3}\\
\mathbf{q}=\left\|\begin{array}{l}
q_{1} \\
q_{2} \\
p_{1} \\
p_{2}
\end{array}\right\|, \quad H=\left\|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & c_{11} & c_{12} \\
a_{12} & a_{22} & c_{21} & c_{22} \\
c_{11} & c_{21} & b_{11} & b_{12} \\
c_{12} & c_{22} & b_{12} & b_{22}
\end{array}\right\|, \quad J=\left\|\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right\|
\end{gather*}
$$

By virtue of the multiplicity of the roots of the characteristic equation of the linear system (1.3), it can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{4}+2 \omega^{2} \lambda^{2}+\omega^{4}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=i \omega$ and $\lambda_{3}=\lambda_{4}=-i \omega$ are the roots of the characteristic equation.

Let $D_{k}(\lambda)$ be the greatest common divisor of all minors of the defining matrix $(J H-\lambda E)$ of order $k$ [5]. In the problem being investigated $D_{0}=D_{1}=D_{2}=1$ always. In addition $D_{4}=\lambda^{4}+2 \omega^{2} \lambda^{2}+\omega^{4}$. Two cases are possible, depending on the coefficients $a_{i j}, b_{i j}, c_{i j}$ : (1) $D_{3}=\lambda^{2}+\omega^{2}$, (2) $D_{3}=1$. The invariant polynomials of matrix $(J H-\lambda E)$ for the first and second cases are, respectively: $i_{1}=i_{2}=\lambda^{2}+\omega^{2}, i_{3}=i_{4}=1$ and $i_{1}=\left(\lambda^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad i_{2}=i_{3}=i_{4}=1$.

In the first case the defining matrix has simple elementary divisors and the normal form $i \omega$ ( $q_{1} p_{1}+q_{2} p_{2}$ ) has the real representation

$$
H_{2}=1 / 2\left(p_{1}^{2}+\omega^{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)-1 / 2\left(p_{2}^{2}+\omega^{2} q_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

This case is investigated in Sect. 2. In the second case the elementary divisors are not simple. Then [6] there exists a linear complex canonical transformation $P$ bringing the Hamiltonian (1.2) od system (1.3) to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}^{*}=i \omega\left(q_{1}^{*} p_{1}^{*}+q_{2}^{*} p_{2}^{*}\right)+q_{2}^{*} p_{1}^{*} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A constructive way for determining the matrix of transformation $P$ is indicated in [6], but the question on the real form of the normalized Hamiltonian is not discussed. Let us find this form here.

The Hamiltonian (1.5) can be reduced to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}=1 / 2\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)+\omega\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by a linear complex canonical transformation with the matrix

$$
D=\left\|\begin{array}{cccc}
b & i b & -a & -i a \\
a & i a & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2 a} & -\frac{i}{2 a} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{b}{2 a^{2}} & \frac{i b}{2 a^{2}} & \frac{1}{2 a} & -\frac{i}{2 a}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are any complex numbers. We now apply the transformation $N=P D$ to the original system with Hamiltonian (1.2) and we choose the numbers $a$ and $b$ such that the transformation will be real. This can always be done since the $\lambda$-matrices corresponding to Hamiltonians (1.2) and (1.6) have equal elementary divisors in the real
number field [5]. The stability of the equilibrium position in the case of nonsimple elementary divisors is investigated in Sect. 3.
2. Let $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}$ and let the elementary divisors be simple. The Hamilton function (1.1) can be reduced by a linear real canonical transformation to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=1 / 2\left(p_{1}^{2}+\omega^{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)-1 / 2\left(p_{2}^{2}+\omega^{2} q_{2}^{2}\right)+H_{3}+H_{4}+\ldots+H_{m}+\ldots \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
H_{m}=\sum_{v=m} h_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{4}} q_{1}^{v_{1}} q_{2}^{v_{3}} p_{1}^{v_{3}} p_{2}^{v_{4}}, \quad v=v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{4}
$$

We used the Birkhoff transformation [7] in complex coordinates to reduce the forms $H_{3}$ and $H_{4}$ in expansion (2.1) to normal form; in essence, the normalization carried out differs in no way from the analogous transformations in [4] for the resonances $\omega_{1}=2 \omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{1}=3 \omega_{2}$. After all, having annulled form $H_{3}$ and simplified $H_{4}$, we can reduce the Hamiltonian ( 2.1 ) to the form (the notation for the variables remains as before)

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\frac{\omega}{2}\left[\left(q_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)\right]+\frac{c_{20}}{4}\left(q_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}+  \tag{2.2}\\
& \quad \frac{c_{11}}{4}\left(q_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right)\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{c_{02}}{4}\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+ \\
& \quad \frac{k_{2022}}{2}\left[\left(q_{1} q_{2}-p_{1} p_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(q_{1} p_{2}+q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{2}\right]+l_{2002}\left(q_{1} q_{2}-p_{1} p_{2}\right) \times \\
& \quad\left(q_{1} p_{2}+q_{2} p_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right)\left[k_{1120}\left(q_{1} p_{2}+q_{2} p_{1}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad l_{1120}\left(q_{1} q_{2}-p_{1} p_{2}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)\left[k_{1102}\left(q_{1} p_{2}+q_{2} p_{1}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad l_{1102}\left(q_{1} q_{2}-p_{1} p_{2}\right)\right]+H_{5}+\ldots \\
& c_{20}=-x_{2020}-\frac{3}{2} u_{1,1}+\frac{1}{2} u_{2,2}-\frac{1}{2} u_{4,4}-\frac{3 \omega^{2}}{8} u_{7,7}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{24} u_{8,8} \\
& c_{11}=x_{1111}+2 u_{1,6}+2 u_{3,3}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{6} u_{8,8}-2 u_{2,5}-2 u_{4,4}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{6} u_{9,9} \\
& c_{02}=-x_{0202}+\frac{3}{2} u_{5,5}-\frac{1}{2} u_{6,6}+\frac{1}{2} u_{3,3}+\frac{3 \omega^{2}}{8} u_{10,10}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{24} u_{8,8} \\
& k_{2002}=x_{2002}-\frac{1}{2} u_{1,3}-u_{2,4}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{8} u_{7,8}+u_{3,6}+\frac{1}{2} u_{4,5}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{8} u_{8,10} \\
& l_{2002}=y_{2002}-\frac{1}{2} v_{1,3}+v_{2,4}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{8} v_{7,8}-v_{3,6}+\frac{1}{2} v_{4,5}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{8} v_{8,10} \\
& k_{1120}=x_{1120}-\frac{1}{2} u_{2,1}+u_{1,4}+u_{3,2}-\frac{1}{2} u_{2,6}- \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2} u_{6,4}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4} u_{5,7}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{12} u_{9,8} \\
& l_{1120}=y_{1120}-\frac{1}{2} v_{2,1}+v_{1,4}+v_{3,2}-\frac{1}{2} v_{2,8}-\frac{1}{2} v_{6,4}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4} v_{8,7}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{12} v_{8,8} \\
& k_{1102}=x_{1102}+\frac{1}{2} u_{6,2}+u_{2,3}-2 u_{5,3}-u_{4,6}+\frac{1}{2} u_{6,5}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{4} u_{9,10}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{12} u_{8,9} \\
& l_{1102}=y_{1102}+\frac{1}{2} v_{6,2}+v_{2,3}-2 v_{5,3}-v_{4,8}-\frac{1}{2} v_{6,5}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{4} v_{9,10}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{12} v_{8,9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{i, j}=x_{i} x_{j}+y_{i} y_{j}, v_{i, j}=x_{i} y_{j}-x_{j} y_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, 10) \\
& x_{2020}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \boldsymbol{j}^{2} h_{0040}+h_{2020}+\frac{3}{\omega^{2}} h_{4900}\right) \\
& x_{1111}=\omega^{2} h_{0022}+h_{0220}+h_{2002}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{2200} \\
& x_{0202}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \omega^{2} h_{0004}+h_{0202}+\frac{3}{\omega^{2}} h_{0400}\right) \\
& x_{2002}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega^{2} h_{0022}+h_{0220}+h_{1111}+h_{2002}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{2200}\right) \\
& y_{2002}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\omega h_{0121}-\omega h_{1012}+\frac{1}{\omega} h_{1210}+\frac{1}{\omega} h_{2101}\right) \\
& x_{1120}=\frac{1}{4}\left(3 \omega h_{0130}+\omega h_{1021}+\frac{1}{\omega} h_{2110}+\frac{3}{\omega} h_{3001}\right) \\
& y_{1120}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-3 \omega^{2} h_{0031}+h_{1120}-h_{2011}+\frac{3}{\omega^{2}} h_{3100}\right) \\
& x_{1102}=\frac{1}{4}\left(3 \omega h_{1003}+\omega h_{0112}+\frac{1}{\omega} h_{1201}+\frac{3}{\omega} h_{0310}\right) \\
& y_{1102}=\frac{1}{4}\left(-3 \omega^{2} h_{0013}+h_{0211}-h_{1102}+\frac{3}{\omega^{2}} h_{1301}\right) \\
& x_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} h_{1020}-\frac{3}{2 \omega^{2}} h_{3000}, \quad y_{1}=\frac{3 \omega}{2} h_{0030}+\frac{1}{2 \omega} h_{2010} \\
& x_{2}=-\omega h_{0021}-\frac{1}{\omega} h_{2001}, \quad y_{2}=h_{0120}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{2100} \\
& x_{3}=-\frac{1}{2} h_{0111}-\frac{1}{2} h_{1002}+\frac{1}{2 \omega^{2}} h_{1200}, \quad y_{3}=-\frac{\omega}{2} h_{0012}+\frac{1}{2 \omega} h_{0211}+\frac{1}{2 \omega} h_{1101} \\
& x_{4}=-\frac{\omega}{2} h_{0021}+\frac{1}{2 \omega} h_{1110}+\frac{1}{2 \omega} h_{2001}, \quad y_{4}=-\frac{1}{2} h_{0120}-\frac{1}{2} h_{1011}+\frac{1}{2 \omega^{3}} h_{2100} \\
& x_{\overline{5}}=\frac{3 \omega}{2} h_{0003}+\frac{1}{2 \omega} h_{0201}, \quad y_{5}=-\frac{1}{2} h_{0102}-\frac{3}{2 \omega^{2}} h_{03 n 0} \\
& x_{6}=h_{1002}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{1200}, \quad y_{6}=-\omega h_{0012}-\frac{1}{\omega} h_{0210} \\
& x_{7}=h_{0030}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{2010}, \quad y_{7}=\frac{1}{\omega} h_{1020}-\frac{1}{\omega^{3}} h_{3000} \\
& x_{8}=\frac{1}{\omega} h_{0120}-\frac{1}{\omega} h_{1011}-\frac{1}{\omega^{3}} h_{2100}, \quad y_{8}=h_{0021}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{1110}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{2001} \\
& x_{9}=-h_{0012}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{0210}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{1101}, \quad y_{9}=\frac{1}{\omega} h_{0111}-\frac{1}{\omega} h_{1002}+\frac{1}{\omega^{3}} h_{1200} \\
& x_{10}=-\frac{1}{\omega} h_{0102}+\frac{1}{\omega^{3}} h_{0300}, \quad y_{10}=-h_{0003}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} h_{0201}
\end{aligned}
$$

In "polar" coordinates $r_{1}, r_{2}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ defined by the formulas

$$
\varphi_{i}=\sqrt{2 r_{i}} \sin \varphi_{i}, \quad p_{i}=\sqrt{2 r_{i}} \cos \varphi_{i}
$$

Hamiltonian (2.2) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =\omega\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)+c_{20} r_{1}^{2}+c_{11} r_{1} r_{2}+c_{02} r_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& 2 r_{1} r_{2}\left[k_{2002} \cos 2\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)-l_{2002} \sin 2\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)\right]+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 r_{1} \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}}\left[k_{1120} \sin \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)-l_{1120} \cos \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)\right]+ \\
& 2 r_{2} \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}}\left[k_{1102} \sin \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)+l_{1102} \cos \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)\right]+O\left(r_{i}^{4 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote $A=2 \sqrt{k_{2002}^{2}+l_{2002}^{2}}, B=2 \sqrt{k_{1120}^{2}+l_{1120}^{2}}, C=2 \sqrt{k_{1102}^{2}+l_{1102}^{2}}$ and we suppose that $A \neq 0, B \neq 0, C \neq 0$. Then, defining the angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ by the relations
we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin 2 \theta_{1}=\frac{2 k_{2002}}{A}, \quad \sin \theta_{2}=-\frac{2 l_{1120}}{B}, \quad \sin \theta_{3}=\frac{2 l_{1102}}{C} \\
& \cos 2 \theta_{1}=-\frac{2 l_{2002}}{A}, \quad \cos \theta_{2}=\frac{2 k_{1120}}{B}, \quad \cos \theta_{3}=\frac{2 k_{1102}}{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\omega\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)+c_{20} r_{1}^{2}+c_{11} r_{1} r_{2}+c_{02} r_{2}^{2}+  \tag{2,3}\\
& A r_{1} r_{2} \sin 2\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\theta_{1}\right)+B r_{1} \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} \sin \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\theta_{2}\right)+ \\
& C r_{2} \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} \sin \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\theta_{3}\right)+O\left(r_{i}^{s / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using the integral $H=h=$ const we decrease the system's order by two units [8]; the new Hamiltonian is $2 \pi$-periodic in the new independent variable. Since the motion is being examined in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin, we can assume that $r_{1}, r_{2} \sim \varepsilon$, where $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$. Let the initial conditions be such that $h \sim \varepsilon^{1 / 2}$. Then, by solving Eq. (2, 3) relative to $r_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{2}=-K_{0}\left(r_{1}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)-K_{1}\left(r_{1}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, h\right), K_{1}=O\left(r_{1}^{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}, 2}\right) \\
& K_{0}=-r_{1}-\frac{1}{\omega} r_{1}^{2}\left[\left(c_{20}+c_{11}+c_{02}\right)+A \sin 2\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\theta_{1}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad B \sin \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\theta_{2}\right)+C \sin \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\theta_{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $K_{1}$ is a function $2 \pi$-periodic in $\varphi_{1}$ and in the new independent variable $\varphi_{2}$. If instead of $\varphi_{1}$ we introduce the new angle $\varphi=\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\theta_{1}$, and instead of $r_{1}$ the new momentum $r$, then the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=r^{2}(a+b \sin 2 \varphi+c \sin \varphi+d \cos \varphi)+K^{*}\left(r, \varphi, \varphi_{2}, h\right)  \tag{2,4}\\
& K^{*}=O\left(r^{4 / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

will correspond to the resulting system with one degree of freedom. Here $K^{*}$ is a function $2 \pi$-periodic in $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{2}$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=-\frac{1}{\omega}\left(c_{20}+c_{11}+c_{02}\right), \quad c=-\frac{1}{\omega}\left[B \cos \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)+C \cos \left(\theta_{3}-\theta_{1}\right)\right] \\
b=-\frac{1}{\omega} A, \quad d=-\frac{1}{\omega}\left[B \sin \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)+C \sin \left(\theta_{3}-\theta_{1}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

From the equations of motion with Hamiltonian (2.3) it follows that for sufficiently small $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ the angular variable $\varphi_{2}$ is a monotonic function of time and, consequently. $\varphi_{2}$ can play the role of time in the stability problem. Thus, as in $[4,8]$, the stability investigation of a system with two degrees of freedom has been successfully reduced to the investigation of a system with one degree of freedom.
Theorem 2.1. If the function $\Phi(\varphi)=a+b \sin 2 \varphi+C \sin \varphi+d \cos \varphi$ does not vanish for any $\varphi$, then the equilibrium position under investigation is Liapunovstable. If there exists $\varphi^{*}\left(0 \leqslant \varphi^{*} \leqslant 2 \pi\right)$ such that $\Phi\left(\varphi^{*}\right)=0$, while $\Phi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{*}\right) \neq 0$, then the equilibrium position $q_{i}=p_{i}=0$ is unstable.

Note 2.1. If there exists $\varphi^{*}$ such that $\Phi\left(\varphi^{*}\right)=\Phi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{*}\right)=0$, then the stabi-
lity question is resolved by the higher-order terms in the expansion of the problem's Hamilton function.

Let us first prove the assumption on instability. We note that from the periodicity of function $\Phi$ ) and from the fact that $\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{*}\right)=0\right.$, it follows that if the equation $\Phi(\varphi)=0$ has roots, then there are at least two of them. We denote the two roots closest to each other by $\varphi^{*}$ and $q^{* *}$ and we let the root $\varphi^{*}$ be such that $\Phi\left(\varphi^{*}\right)=0$, while $\Phi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{*}\right)<0$. We then prove instability with respect to variable $r$ by means of Chetaev's theorem [9] and of the results of [10]. As the Chetaev function we take the function

$$
V=r^{2} \sin \Psi, \quad \Psi=\pi / 2 \delta\left(\varphi-\varphi^{*}+\delta\right)
$$

where we choose the sufficiently small number $\delta$ such that there are no other roots of the function $\Phi(\varphi)$ in the neighborhood $\varphi^{*}-\delta<\varphi<\varphi^{*}+\delta$, while the sign of $\Phi^{\prime}(\varphi)$ is preserved in this neighborhood. As the region $V>0$ we take the region ( $r>0, \varphi^{*}-\delta<\varphi<\varphi^{*}+\delta$ ). By virtue of the equations of motion the derivative of function $V$ with respect to the independent variable $\Psi_{2}$ is

$$
\frac{d V}{d \varphi_{2}}=2 r^{3}\left\{\Phi(\varphi) \frac{\pi}{2 \delta} \cos \Psi-\Phi^{\prime}(\varphi) \sin \Psi\right\}+O\left(r^{\top, 2}\right)
$$

and this function is positive definite since $\Phi^{\prime}(\varphi)<0$ and $\sin \Psi>0$ in the region $V>0$, while for $\varphi^{*}-\delta<\varphi<\varphi^{*}$ the function $\Phi(\varphi)>0$ and $\cos \Psi>0$, and for $\varphi^{*}<\varphi<\varphi^{*}+\delta$ the function $\Phi(\varphi)<0$ and also $\cos \Psi<0$; here the expression within the braces does not vanish either in region $V>0$ or on its boundary. Thus we have proved the assertion on instability when roots of the equation $\Phi(\varphi)=0$ exist.

To prove stability we pass to the variables the action $J$ and the angle $W$ [11]. Then the generating function of the canonical trasformation $r, \varphi \rightarrow J, W$ is

$$
S(J, \varphi)=\frac{2 \pi J}{M} \int_{0}^{\varphi} \frac{d \varphi}{\sqrt{\Phi(\varphi)}}, \quad M=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \varphi}{\sqrt{\Phi(\varphi)}}
$$

we note that $M$ exists when the theorem's hypotheses are fulfilled. In the new variables the Hamiltonian (2.4) is expressed as follows:

$$
K(J, W)=\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{M^{2}} J^{2}+K^{*}\left(J, W, \varphi_{2}, h\right), \quad K^{*}=0\left(J^{t_{2}}\right)
$$

where $K^{*}$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic function in the variables $W$ and $\psi^{2}$, and is analytic in all the variables in the region

$$
0<c_{1} \leqslant J \leqslant c_{2}, \quad|h|<c_{3}, \quad\left|\operatorname{Im} W, \varphi_{2}\right|<c_{4}
$$

where $c_{j}$ are real numbers. Since $\partial^{2}\left(K-K^{*}\right) / \partial J^{2} \neq 0$, hence, according to [2], follows the stability of the equilibrium position $q_{i}=p_{i}=0$.
3. The difficulty of investigating stability in the case of nonsimple elementary divisors is, mathematically, that even in the first approximation the variables corresponding to the different degrees of freedom are not separable. Therefore, we cannot succeed in reducing the stability investigation to the study of the system with one degree of freedom as in the simpler case of linear elementary divisors of the defining matrix. It is also very interesting that in contrast to the preceding case and to all the investigated cases of the stability of a system with two degrees of freedom, the linear problem is
unstable because of the presence of terms of the form $t \sin \omega t$ in the general solution. However, accounting for the nonlinear terms in the equations of motion can lead both to the stability as well as the instability of the full system [12].

Let the Hamilton function of the problem be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)+\omega\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right)+\sum_{v=3}^{\infty} h_{\nu_{1} v_{2} v_{2} v_{1}} q_{1}^{\nu_{1}} q_{2}^{v_{2}} p_{1}^{v_{s}} p_{2}^{\nu_{4}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form $H_{3}$ in (3.1) again can be annulled completely and the form $H_{4}$ simplified by applying the Birkhoff transformation. After some further calculations, more cumbersome than in the first case, the Hamilton function (3.1) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=1 / 2\left(q_{1}{ }^{2}+{q_{2}}^{2}\right)+\omega\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right)+ \\
& \left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+p_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\left[A\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+p_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+B\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.C\left(q_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right]+H_{3}+\ldots . \\
& A={ }^{1} / 4 k_{2002}, \quad B=-1 / 4\left(l_{2011}+l_{1102}\right) \\
& C=-1 / 4\left(2 c_{20}+c_{11}+2 c_{02}\right) \\
& k_{2002}=x_{2002}+3 .\left(u_{9,10}-u_{10,9}\right)+2\left(u_{2,3}-u_{3,2}\right)+u_{3,6}-u_{6,3} \\
& l_{2011}=y_{2011}-6 v_{10,8}-4 v_{3,1}+2 v_{6,2}+2 v_{9,9}+v_{2,2}-v_{5,3} \\
& l_{1102}=y_{2011}-6 v_{8,10}-4 v_{1,3}+2 v_{2,6}+2 v_{9,9}+v_{2,2}-v_{3,5} \\
& c_{20}=x_{2020}-9 u_{10,7}+4 u_{6,1}+u_{1,8}+u_{9,8}-u_{5,2}-u_{4,3} \\
& c_{11}=x_{1111}+4\left(u_{9,8}-u_{8,9}+u_{4,3}-u_{3,4}\right)+2\left(u_{6,5}-u_{5,6}+u_{2,1}-u_{1,2}\right) \\
& c_{02}=x_{2020}+9 u_{7,10}-4 u_{1,6}-u_{6,1}-u_{8,9}+u_{2,5}+u_{9,4} \\
& x_{2002}=1 / 2\left(3 h_{0040}+h_{0022}+3 h_{0004}\right) \\
& y_{2011}=1 / 4\left(-h_{1021}-3 h_{1003}+3 h_{0130}+h_{0112}\right) \\
& x_{2020}=1 / 4\left(h_{2020}-h_{2002}+h_{1111}-h_{0220}+h_{0202}\right) \\
& x_{1111}=-\left(h_{2020}+h_{2002}+h_{0220}+h_{0202}\right) \\
& u_{i, j}=e_{i} x_{j}+f_{i} y_{j}, v_{i, j}=e_{i} y_{j}-f_{i} x_{j} \quad(i, j=1, \ldots, 10), \Omega=\omega^{-1} \\
& e_{1}=\Omega y_{1}+\Omega^{2} x_{2}-2 \Omega^{3} y_{3}, \quad f_{1}=-\Omega x_{1}+\Omega^{2} y_{2}+2 \Omega^{3} x_{3} \\
& e_{2}=\Omega y_{2}+2 \Omega^{2} x_{3}, \quad f_{2}=-\Omega x_{2}+2 \Omega^{2} y_{3} \\
& e_{3}=\Omega y_{3}, \\
& f_{3}=-\Omega x_{3} \\
& \begin{array}{rlrl}
e_{6}= & \Omega y_{4}-\Omega^{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{5}\right)+ & f_{4}=-\Omega x_{4}-\Omega^{2}\left(y_{1}-y_{5}\right)- \\
& 2 \Omega^{3}\left(y_{2}-y_{6}\right)+6 \Omega^{4} x_{3}, & & 2 \Omega^{3}\left(x_{2}-x_{8}\right)+6 \Omega^{4} y_{3}
\end{array} \\
& e_{5}=\Omega y_{5}-\Omega^{2}\left(x_{2}-2 x_{6}\right)+4 \Omega^{3} y_{3}, \quad f_{5}=-\Omega x_{5}-\Omega^{2}\left(y_{2}-2 y_{6}\right)-4 \Omega^{3} x_{3} \\
& e_{6}=\Omega y_{6}-\Omega^{2} x_{3}, \quad f_{6}=-\Omega x_{6}-\Omega^{2} y_{3} \\
& e_{7}=1 / 3 \Omega y_{7}+1 / 9 \Omega^{2} x_{8}-2 / 27 \Omega^{3} y_{9}-\quad f_{7}=-1 / 3 \Omega x_{7}+1 / 9 \Omega^{2} y_{8}+{ }^{2} / 27 \Omega^{3} x_{9}- \\
& { }^{2} / 2{ }_{2} \Omega^{4} x_{10}, \quad \quad 2 / 27 \Omega^{4} y_{10} \\
& e_{8}-1 / 3 \Omega y_{8}+{ }^{2} / 9 \Omega^{2} x_{9}-{ }^{2} / 9 \Omega^{3} y_{10}, \quad f_{8}=-1 / 3 \Omega x_{8}+2 / 9 \Omega^{2} y_{9}+2 / 9 \Omega^{3} x_{10} \\
& e_{9}=1 / 3 \Omega y_{9}+1 / 3 \Omega^{2} x_{10}, \quad f_{9}=-1 / 3 \Omega x_{9}+1 / 3 \Omega^{2} y_{10} \\
& e_{10}=1 / 3 \Omega y_{10} \text {, } \\
& f_{10}=-1 / 3 \Omega r_{10} \\
& x_{i}=x_{i}^{*} / 2 \sqrt{2}, \quad y_{i}=y_{i}^{*} / 2 \sqrt{2} \quad(i=1,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}^{*}=-h_{2010}-h_{1101}+h_{0210}, & y_{1}{ }^{*}=h_{2001}-h_{110}-h_{0201} \\
x_{2}^{*}=-2 h_{1020}-2 h_{1002}, & y_{2}{ }^{*}=-2 h_{0120}-2 h_{0102} \\
x_{3}^{*}=-3 h_{0030}-h_{0012}, & y_{3}{ }^{*}=-h_{0021}-3 h_{0003} \\
x_{4}^{*}=3 h_{3000}+h_{1200}, & y_{4}{ }^{*}=h_{2100}+3 h_{0300} \\
x_{5}^{*}=2 h_{2010}+2 h_{0210}, & y_{5}^{*}=2 h_{2001}+2 h_{0201} \\
x_{6}^{*}=h_{1020}-h_{1002}+h_{0111}, & y_{8}^{*}=h_{1011}-h_{0120}+h_{0102} \\
x_{7}^{*}=h_{3000}-h_{1200}, & y_{7}{ }^{*}=h_{2100}-h_{0300} \\
x_{8}^{*}=h_{2010}-h_{1101}-h_{0210}, & y_{8}{ }^{*}=h_{2001}+h_{1110}-h_{0201} \\
x_{9}^{*}=h_{1020}-h_{1002}-h_{0111}, & y_{9}^{*}=h_{1011}+h_{0120}-h_{0102} \\
x_{10}^{*}=h_{0030}-h_{0012}, & y_{10}^{*}=h_{0021}-h_{0003}
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 3.1. If $A>0$, the equilibrium position is formally stable, If $A<0$, the equilibrium position is Liapunov-unstable.

To prove the formal stability we note that by means of an infinite number of stages of the Birkhoff transformation (possibly divergent) we can reduce the Hamilton function (3.2) to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)+\omega\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)\left[A\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)+(3.3)\right. \\
&\left.B\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right)+C\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)\right]+ \\
& \quad \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=3}^{\infty} h_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

A canonical system with Hamiltonian (3.3) has two formal integrals $H=$ const and $\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right)=$ const. Consequently, the expression $G \equiv H-\omega\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right)$ also will be a formal integral of the system with Hamiltonian (3.3). But since the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{2}+G_{4}=1 / 2\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)\left[A\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)+B\left(q_{1} p_{2}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.q_{2} p_{1}\right)+C\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

in the expansion

$$
G=G_{2}+G_{4}+G_{6}+\ldots+G_{2 m}+\ldots
$$

is a positive-definite function of its variables $q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}$ when $A>0$, hence, according to definition [13]. follows the formal stability of the equilibrium position.

To prove the instability we make use of Liapunov's instability theorem [1]. As the Liapunov function we take the sign-variable function

$$
V=q_{1} p_{1}+q_{2} p_{2}
$$

The derivative of function $V$, taken relative to the equations of motion with Hamilton-

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{ian}(3.2) d V / d t=-\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)+4 A\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 B\left(q_{1} p_{2}-q_{2} p_{1}\right) \times \\
\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)+O\left(\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is a negative-definite function of its variables when $A<0$. The function $V$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Liapunov's instability theorem. Thus, Theorem 3.1 has been proved completely.

In conclusion the author thanks A. P. Markeev for valuable advice and for discussing the results obtained.
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## ON SYNCHRONIZATION OR DYNAMIC SYSTEMS
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We introduce the concepts of the degree and the order of synchronism on the basis of a mathematical model of the emergence of synchronization in the form of an asymptotically stable integral torus in the phase plane. We investigate the existence conditions for synchronisms in a dynamic system described by differential equations with rapidly rotating phases. As an application we examine synchronisms in a system of quasi-Haniltonian objects. In recent years the phenomena of synchronization and resonance in dynamic systems have been subjected to intensive study, in particular, in connection with the question of the synchronization of satellites [1,2] and of mechanical vibrators [3]. On the mathematical side the appearance of synchronization is closely connected with the theory of differential equations with rapidly rotating phases. Here in the first place we must

